In flooded designs, Gill and Concorde are close. But owners complain—and our tests show—that Gill sealed models aren’t as long-lived as the Concordes.

To hear some owners tell it, buying an aircraft battery is like playing the lottery: You buy your ticket, cross your fingers and wait around to see what happens. Some owners—those lucky enough to get five years out of a battery—ask what’s the big deal? But the poor sap who buys two batteries in as many years has a more cynical view. Is this any way to run an industry? We’re not sure, but our tests and owner surveys reveal a wide disparity—not to mention a vein of customer ire—in battery performance and longevity. In this article, we’ll examine aircraft battery options to make sense of it all.

Upfront, we’ll say that the smart money rides on sealed battery designs from Concorde, with flooded models from Concorde as second choice and Gill flooded products a close third.

The quintessential old-fashioned battery with its need for cleaning, maintenance and periodic addition of distilled water, is called a flooded cell. This battery type has the potential to leak corrosive acid or vent corrosive fumes, hence the battery box should be carefully maintained. A flooded battery is also more prone to vibration damage than a sealed battery. A flooded battery loses 1 to 1.5 percent of its charge per day sitting in your airplane when not on a trickle charger.

**FLOODED OR NOT?**
A flooded battery’s main strength is that it has slightly greater resistance to excess charging abuse compared to a sealed battery. Liquid electrolyte driven off by excessive charging can be replaced, within limits. A flooded cell is also less sensitive to cheap battery chargers, as long as they don’t output more than 4 amps or so.

If a flooded battery is put into initial service without bench charging, allowing the airplane’s charging system to bring it up, it will suffer reduced capacity and shortened life. A sealed battery arrives charged, but should be fully charged on the bench, too. In fact, Gill sealed batteries have a “charge-before-use” sticker on them.
Current state of the art for aircraft batteries is the so-called sealed battery, also known as a valve-regulated lead acid battery (VRLA) or an absorbed glass mat (AGM) battery. This refers to the design of the internal active and plate insulating materials. Since there’s no liquid inside, these batteries can be installed on their sides or upside down, which they may very well be in a homebuilt. Some aircraft are authorized to use only a sealed battery because of location in the airframe. Some dual battery installations use both a sealed and a flooded battery, capitalizing on the benefits of each. Check the airplane’s documentation for battery requirements—they may vary considerably. Some sealed batteries aren’t AGMs, but not in certified aviation batteries. Such batteries are sometimes called dry cells, a term that Odyssey battery seems to fancy. Odyssey is a brand popular among homebuilders, but approved only for a few certified airplanes. The term “dry cell” is a misnomer, in that these batteries are saturated with liquid electrolyte, but not enough to spill if the top was forced open. The electrolyte is absorbed into the internal matrix—sort of the way a sponge holds water, but tighter.

TOP Performance
Sealed batteries perform best when a proper bench charger is initially and periodically used, such as the aviation models from VDC Electronics, which have a multistage charging profile that’s voltage optimized for aviation AGMs or flooded cells.

Periodic bench charging is not a requirement with either flooded or sealed batteries, but it has been empirically shown that such charging is beneficial to maintaining a battery in peak condition for any battery in an airplane that’s not flown frequently. An AGM will out-gas if charged excessively, say over 14.9 volts and several amps for an extended period in a 12-volt system. That means your charging system must be up to par and properly controlled to get reasonable life from these batteries. Once they out-gas, they’re permanently damaged. (In recent torture testing of batteries, we used 15.2 volts for several hours and damaged a Gill sealed battery, reducing its capacity. However, a Concorde similarly treated suffered no ill effects.)

Concorde recommends a charging system of at least 35 amps for use with their sealed batteries because an AGM can cause a smaller charging system to run hot by accepting a charge faster than the alternator can deliver it.

The big upside to an AGM battery is faster recharge. There’s almost no limit to recharge current from any charging system, so they fully recharge shortly after the airplane is in cruise as long as it has a healthy charging system. An AGM also provides more starting current on a size-for-size basis for better starts. That’s because it has less internal resistance than a flooded battery of similar capacity. Further, AGM batteries perform significantly better than flooded models in cold temperatures and are much more resistant to loss of charge. Again, this is primarily due to low internal resistance. Before discussing our specific tests, a word about battery capacity tests. These are required periodically to assure that an aircraft battery is airworthy which, as defined by the FAA, means the battery must last at least 30 minutes in an emergency avionics configuration with the charging system inoperative. This is one of the most ignored requirements in general aviation because many shops don’t have, nor do they want to invest in, the equipment for capacity testing.

The test parameters are found in the instructions for continuing airworthiness (ICA) the FAA requires the battery makers to provide. These ICAs have been included with new batteries for the past seven years. For simplicity, Kelly Aerospace and now Hitec have developed dedicated, automatic capacity testers. Concorde and Gill sell the Kelly-made model under their own brand names for about $950. (Concorde loaned us one for our testing.)

The capacity test can use any empirical method as long as the numerical parameters are met. The
Our Tests

Worth noting here is that for us, battery testing is not a one-shot deal. We maintain a battery of batteries for long-term tests of various kinds, including overcharge abuse testing. For this round of trials, the models we tested are described in the chart. We obtained through normal supply channels four Concorde models (two 12-volt and two 24-volt) and one each 12- and 24-volt Gills. We bought the Gill batteries while Concorde allowed us to obtain their products through suppliers for credit. Concorde also loaned us a capacity tester.

We test verified the manufacturer’s amp-hour ratings after they were conditioned with several charge/discharge cycles. We then conducted the FAA charge/discharge capacity tests four times and averaged the results.

A new battery should have a capacity of about 100 percent. The Gills started over 100 percent, but gravitated to 100 percent or a little less with each discharge cycle. (The Concordinates actually got better each time and the Gills just a little worse.) By the third test cycle, results were at 95 to 102 percent for all. The Concordinates had less variation and incrementally improved, which is desirable. The Concordinates we tested had greater amp-hour capacity than the equivalent Gills. The Gill G25S, for instance, is 18 amp-hours while at the same price and for the same application, the Concorde RG25 has 22 amp-hours. The more amp-hours the better, since it means greater starting and emergency capacity.

Long Term Tests

We put an overzealous charger to good use to intentionally abuse each of the 12-volt Concorde and Gill sealed batteries. The Black and Decker multi-stage charger has a cutoff voltage that's too high (15.2 volts) and a float that's also too high, at 13.5 volts.

This high charge voltage is OK for a rare equalization session to shock a weak flooded deep-cycle battery back to its previous capacity, but if used on a regular basis, it does the opposite by causing plate damage. It will diminish capacity each time it’s used depending on the duration of charge, current and condition of the battery. If it’s used on a sealed battery, the damage is permanent. Outgassing from excess voltage is not reversible in a sealed battery.

We tortured a Gill G25S sealed battery with two recharges from deep discharge tests up to 15.2 volts. The two sessions took about 6 percent in capacity loss over the baseline average from the three previous capacity tests. The Concorde RG25 got the same treatment, but only continued to improve. It was obviously more tolerant of this abuse.

We also tested our two 28-month-old Concordinates’ capacities following a regimen of benign neglect of charging them only every six months. (The two Gills we had obtained at the same time had died at 12 and 24 months.) The Concorde RG35 made 72 percent on the first try and an astounding 96 percent after one 24-hour desulfation with a prototype industrial desulfator made by Hitec and sold through Wilco (316-943-9379) for about $950.

We tried a cheaper desulfation approach on the old Concorde CB35 using the unique “equalization”
mode of the Black and Decker charger. It actually reduced capacity 5 percent and made a mess of expelled electrolyte in the process. Not recommended except as a desperation measure for your lawn tractor battery. Chargers equipped with built-in desulfators have yet to prove themselves to us.

Recommendations
If you want to stick with a flooded battery, have a Gill as the original equipment and if you’re satisfied, stay with it, especially if it’s a 12-volt. These batteries perform well and the warranty is longer than Concorde’s. If you retain the original battery, technically, no capacity test is required. But we recommend doing one anyway; it’s cheap insurance against a failure.

Both our long- and short-term tests have shown the Concordes to be a better battery, flooded or sealed. They have greater amp-hour ratings than the equivalent Gill and at competitive prices. Concorde’s sealed technology is superior, according to our testing. And even our long-term test CB35 flooded battery had off-the-shelf performance of 87 percent capacity after 28 months of neglect.

One obvious workaround to the annual capacity test is the sealed Concorde, which is so robust that the manufacturer allows it to go two full years initially with no capacity test needed. Then test once a year thereafter, so you can go at least three years with only one test. In our view, this puts the Concorde sealed battery into the slam-dunk category as the best choice and the best value.

We attempted to contact Gill via fax and e-mail with technical questions several times during our testing program, but the company was unresponsive on the specific issues we raised, replying that it doesn’t discuss technical details. We did point out some shortcomings in their technical documentation, however, which Gill did respond to and said they would correct. But overall, we wish Gill were as responsive as Concorde, which buried us in technical data.

For more detailed information on battery capacity, maintenance and technology, see our sister magazine, Light Plane Maintenance, which has extensive coverage in its January 2008 issue, including a 60-second ramp capacity check anyone can perform.

Kim Santerre is editor of Aviation Consumer’s sister publication, Light Plane Maintenance.
CONTACTS...
Concorde Batteries
800-757-0303
www.concordebattery.com

Gill Batteries
800-456-0070
www.gillbatteries.com

Odyssey Batteries
888-379-2555
www.odysseybatteries.com
Why don’t aviation batteries last longer? We posed this question and others to Concorde’s Skip Koss. Hear his answers in this podcast, which you can find on our online publication, www.avweb.com. Click on the podcast button, then “more podcasts” and scroll down the index to the Concorde battery podcast.
Concorde’s sealed RG25 is the slam dunk top aviation battery.

Concorde’s flooded and sealed products have higher capacity than Gill’s.

Gill has a longer warranty on its 12-volt batteries than does Concorde.

Tests and owner surveys show that Gill sealed models have poor longevity. We can’t recommend them.
## Battery Test Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRAND</th>
<th>MODEL</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>VOLTS</th>
<th>PUBLISHED AMP/HR</th>
<th>CAPACITY TEST %</th>
<th>FULL WEIGHT</th>
<th>CCA</th>
<th>LOAD TIME**</th>
<th>REMARK</th>
<th>CATALOG PRICE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONCORDE</td>
<td>RG-25</td>
<td>SEALLED</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22 AMPS</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>59 MIN</td>
<td>STANDARD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONCORDE</td>
<td>RG-25C</td>
<td>SEALLED</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24 AMPS</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>65 MIN</td>
<td>PREMIUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GILL</td>
<td>G-25S</td>
<td>SEALLED</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18 AMPS</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>51 MIN</td>
<td>STANDARD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONCORDE</td>
<td>RG24-11</td>
<td>SEALLED</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11 AMPS</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>57 MIN</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STANDARD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONCORDE</td>
<td>RG24-16</td>
<td>SEALLED</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13.6 AMPS</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>66 MIN</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>PREMIUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONCORDE</td>
<td>CB24-11</td>
<td>FLOODED</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10 AMPS</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>53 MIN</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STANDARD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GILL</td>
<td>G-242</td>
<td>FLOODED</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10 AMPS</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>51 MIN</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STANDARD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Long-Term Test on 28-Month Old Batteries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRAND</th>
<th>MODEL</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>VOLTS</th>
<th>PUBLISHED AMP/HR</th>
<th>CAPACITY TEST %</th>
<th>FULL WEIGHT</th>
<th>CCA</th>
<th>LOAD TIME**</th>
<th>REMARK</th>
<th>CATALOG PRICE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONCORDE</td>
<td>CB35</td>
<td>FLOODED</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>29 AMPS</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONCORDE</td>
<td>RG35S</td>
<td>SEALLED</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>29 AMPS</td>
<td>87/96*</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The long-term capacity test indicates before and after deep anti-sulphation treatment by HiTec heavy duty prototype desulphator.

*The two long-term test Concorde's are 28 months old and abused intentionally. The two comparable Gills died at 12 and 18 months.

*The FAA capacity test standard is 80 percent of rated capacity to pass. International standard is 85 percent.

*A 24-volt battery uses one half the amps to produce the same power (watts) as a 12-volt battery.

*Batteries selected for testing are comparable for old and new Cessna 150/172/some 182s, and similar engines in other brand aircraft.

*Premium Concorde brands are called "Platinum" line and have more lead and greater capacity than standard version.

**The **indicates a fixed load to either an 11 or 22 volt cutoff was done. Avionics work more reliably in this range. The capacity test cuts at 10 or 20 volts.